# Exhibit B Attorneys' Eyes Only

[To be filed under seal]



## Transcript of Stephanie Christianson, Designated Representative, Volume 2

**Date:** June 28, 2018

Case: UMG Recordings, Inc., et al. -v- Grande Communications Networks, LLC, et al.

**Planet Depos** 

**Phone:** 888.433.3767

Email:: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

Transcript of Stephanie Christianson, Designated Representative 60 (237 to 240)

Conducted on June 27, 2018

	237	
1 ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT	257	
2 I, STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON, do hereby		
3 acknowledge that I have read and examined the		
4 foregoing testimony, and the same is a true,		
5 correct and complete transcription of the		
6 testimony given by me and any corrections appear		
7 on the attached Errata sheet signed by me.		
8		
9		
10		
11 (DATE) (SIGNATURE)		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	238	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION	238	
	238	
2 I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before	238	
2 I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before 3 whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby	238	
2 I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before 3 whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby 4 certify that the foregoing transcript is a true	238	
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that	238	
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically	238	
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my	238	
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested;	238	
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my	238	
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor	238	
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor memory direction and signing was requested;	238	
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor members and the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its	238	
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor memory deposition of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its cutcome.		
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor multiple employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its cutcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my		
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor members and the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its cutcome. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of July, 2018.		
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor members by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its cutcome. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of July, 2018.		
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its cutcome. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of July, 2018.		
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor members by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its cutcome. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of July, 2018.		
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its cutcome. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of July, 2018.		
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor members by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its cutcome. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of July, 2018.  MICHEAL A. JOHNSON, RDR, CRR		
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor membroyed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its cutcome. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of July, 2018.  MICHEAL A. JOHNSON, RDR, CRR NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR		
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its cutcome. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of July, 2018.  MICHEAL A. JOHNSON, RDR, CRR NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS		
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its cutcome. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of July, 2018.  MICHEAL A. JOHNSON, RDR, CRR NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS		
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its cutcome. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of July, 2018.  MICHEAL A. JOHNSON, RDR, CRR NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS		
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its cutcome. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of July, 2018.  MICHEAL A. JOHNSON, RDR, CRR NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS		
I, Micheal A. Johnson, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that reading and signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its cutcome. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of July, 2018.  MICHEAL A. JOHNSON, RDR, CRR NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS		

### Case 1:17-cv-00365-DAE Document 128-3 Filed 08/08/18 Page 4 of 10

## Transcript of Stephanie Christianson, Designated Representative, Volume 2 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>t4t</sub> (to<sup>4</sup>)<sup>2</sup> Conducted on June 28, 2018

	Conducted	J11	<b>Valle 20, 2010</b>	
	239			241
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS	2	FOR PLAINTIFFS:	
3	AUSTIN DIVISION	3	Philip J. O'Beirne	
4	UMG RECORDINGS, INC., §	4	STEIN MITCHELL CIPOLLONE	
5	et al. §	5	BEATO & MISSNER LLP	
6	§	6	1100 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 1100	
7	VS. \$ CIVIL ACTION NUMBER	7	Washington, D.C. 200036	
8	\$ 1:17-cv-0365-LY	8	(202) 661-0900	
9	GRANDE COMMUNICATIONS §	9	pobeirne@steinmitchell.com	
10	NETWORKS LLC and §	10		
11	PATRIOT MEDIA §		ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS:	
l	CONSULTING, LLC §	12	Richard L. Brophy	
13		13	ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP	
14	30(b)(6) Deposition of	14	7700 Forsyth Boulevard, Suite 1800	
15	Grande Communications Networks LLC	15	St. Louis, Missouri 63105	
16	By and Through its Designated Representative	16	(314) 342-4159	
17	STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON	17	rbrophy@armstrongteasdale.com	
18	And in Her Individual Capacity	18		
19	Austin, Texas	19	VIDEOGRAPHER:	
20	June 28, 2018	20	Leyhbert Sharp	
21	9:35 a.m.	21		
22	Volume 2 of 2	22		
23	Job No.: 193712	23		
24	Pages: 239 - 359	24		
25	Reported by: Micheal A. Johnson, RDR, CRR	25		
_	240	╁		242
1	Deposition of STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON, held	1	INDEX	
2	at the location of:	2	STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON	
3		4		
4		3	June 28, 2018	
			June 28, 2018 APPEARANCES 241	
5	Kelly Hart & Hallman LLP	3		
5 6	Kelly Hart & Hallman LLP 303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000	3 4	APPEARANCES 241	
l		3 4 5	APPEARANCES 241	
6	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000	3 4 5 6	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245	
6 7	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000	3 4 5 6 7	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON:	
6 7 8	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000	3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON: BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245	
6 7 8 9	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000	3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON: BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245 BY MR. BROPHY 353	
6 7 8 9	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON: BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245 BY MR. BROPHY 353	
6 7 8 9 10 11	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000 Austin, Texas 78701	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON:  BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245  BY MR. BROPHY 353  BY MR. O'BEIRNE 354	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000 Austin, Texas 78701  Pursuant to Notice, before Micheal A.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON: BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245 BY MR. BROPHY 353 BY MR. O'BEIRNE 354  ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT 357	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000 Austin, Texas 78701  Pursuant to Notice, before Micheal A. Johnson, Registered Diplomate Reporter and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON: BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245 BY MR. BROPHY 353 BY MR. O'BEIRNE 354  ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT 357	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000 Austin, Texas 78701  Pursuant to Notice, before Micheal A. Johnson, Registered Diplomate Reporter and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON: BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245 BY MR. BROPHY 353 BY MR. O'BEIRNE 354  ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT 357	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000 Austin, Texas 78701  Pursuant to Notice, before Micheal A. Johnson, Registered Diplomate Reporter and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON: BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245 BY MR. BROPHY 353 BY MR. O'BEIRNE 354  ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT 357	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000 Austin, Texas 78701  Pursuant to Notice, before Micheal A. Johnson, Registered Diplomate Reporter and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON: BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245 BY MR. BROPHY 353 BY MR. O'BEIRNE 354  ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT 357	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000 Austin, Texas 78701  Pursuant to Notice, before Micheal A. Johnson, Registered Diplomate Reporter and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON: BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245 BY MR. BROPHY 353 BY MR. O'BEIRNE 354  ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT 357	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000 Austin, Texas 78701  Pursuant to Notice, before Micheal A. Johnson, Registered Diplomate Reporter and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON: BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245 BY MR. BROPHY 353 BY MR. O'BEIRNE 354  ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT 357	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000 Austin, Texas 78701  Pursuant to Notice, before Micheal A. Johnson, Registered Diplomate Reporter and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON: BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245 BY MR. BROPHY 353 BY MR. O'BEIRNE 354  ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT 357	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000 Austin, Texas 78701  Pursuant to Notice, before Micheal A. Johnson, Registered Diplomate Reporter and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON: BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245 BY MR. BROPHY 353 BY MR. O'BEIRNE 354  ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT 357	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000 Austin, Texas 78701  Pursuant to Notice, before Micheal A. Johnson, Registered Diplomate Reporter and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON: BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245 BY MR. BROPHY 353 BY MR. O'BEIRNE 354  ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT 357	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000 Austin, Texas 78701  Pursuant to Notice, before Micheal A. Johnson, Registered Diplomate Reporter and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON: BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245 BY MR. BROPHY 353 BY MR. O'BEIRNE 354  ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT 357	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000 Austin, Texas 78701  Pursuant to Notice, before Micheal A. Johnson, Registered Diplomate Reporter and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON: BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245 BY MR. BROPHY 353 BY MR. O'BEIRNE 354  ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT 357	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000 Austin, Texas 78701  Pursuant to Notice, before Micheal A. Johnson, Registered Diplomate Reporter and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	APPEARANCES 241 PROCEEDINGS 245  EXAMINATION OF STEPHANIE CHRISTIANSON: BY MR. O'BEIRNE 245 BY MR. BROPHY 353 BY MR. O'BEIRNE 354  ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT 357	

# Transcript of Stephanie Christianson, Designated Representative, Volume 2 to 12 to 12 Conducted on June 28, 2018

283	285
1 to answer the question.	1 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague.
2 A. I can't recall exactly why we held.	2 A. Yes.
3 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	3 BYMR. O'BEIRNE:
4 Q. Was this project ever completed?	4 Q. What was Patriot's role in the decision to
5 MR. BROPHY: Same caution regarding	5 begin sending out e-mail notifications?
6 disclosure of attorney-client privileged and	6 MR. BROPHY: So again I'll caution the
7 work-product materials.	7 witness not to disclose attorney-client privileged
8 A. Yes.	8 communications or work-product materials.
9 BYMR. O'BEIRNE:	9 Counsel, if I could ask for a
10 Q. When was this project completed?	10 clarification. Which topic do you believe this
11 A. When we started sending e-mails.	11 falls under, specifically Patriot's role?
I -	
12 Q. Followed by the hard copy warning letters,	
13 right?	13 and documents exchanged between Grande and Patriot
14 A. Yes.	14 concerning any of the following topics, any DMCA
15 Q. When did you start sending e-mails	15 Policy
16 strike that.	16 MR. BROPHY: Got it. Understood.
17 When did Grande start sending e-mails as	17 A. I don't know.
18 you just described?	18 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:
19 A. I don't have the start date off the top of	19 Q. Sitting here today as Grande's corporate
20 my head.	20 representative, you can't tell me Patriot's role,
21 Q. As Grande's corporate representative about	21 if any, in the decision to have Grande start
22 the implementation of its DMCA policy, what is	22 sending out e-mails?
23 your best description of when Grande started	23 MR. BROPHY: And I'll caution the witness
24 sending e-mails as described in this document and	24 not to disclose attorney-client privileged
25 your testimony?	25 communications.
284	286
1 A. We switched over to sending e-mails	1 A. No, I can't.
1 A. We switched over to sending e-mails 2 mid-2017.	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:
<ul> <li>A. We switched over to sending e-mails</li> <li>mid-2017.</li> <li>Q. Prior to switching over to sending</li> </ul>	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update
<ol> <li>A. We switched over to sending e-mails</li> <li>mid-2017.</li> <li>Q. Prior to switching over to sending</li> <li>e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. No, I can't.</li> <li>BY MR. O'BEIRNE:</li> <li>Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update</li> <li>its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. We switched over to sending e-mails</li> <li>mid-2017.</li> <li>Q. Prior to switching over to sending</li> </ul>	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in
<ol> <li>A. We switched over to sending e-mails</li> <li>mid-2017.</li> <li>Q. Prior to switching over to sending</li> <li>e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. No, I can't.</li> <li>BY MR. O'BEIRNE:</li> <li>Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update</li> <li>its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that</li> </ol>
1 A. We switched over to sending e-mails 2 mid-2017. 3 Q. Prior to switching over to sending 4 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 5 were going out but nobody was getting terminated,	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in
1 A. We switched over to sending e-mails 2 mid-2017. 3 Q. Prior to switching over to sending 4 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 5 were going out but nobody was getting terminated, 6 right?	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right?
A. We switched over to sending e-mails mid-2017. Q. Prior to switching over to sending e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters were going out but nobody was getting terminated, right? MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. A. Repeat that question for me one more time. BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness
A. We switched over to sending e-mails mid-2017. Q. Prior to switching over to sending e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters were going out but nobody was getting terminated, right? MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. A. Repeat that question for me one more time.	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness 8 not to answer that question on the grounds of
A. We switched over to sending e-mails mid-2017. Q. Prior to switching over to sending e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters were going out but nobody was getting terminated, right? MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. A. Repeat that question for me one more time. BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness 8 not to answer that question on the grounds of 9 attorney-client privilege.
1 A. We switched over to sending e-mails 2 mid-2017. 3 Q. Prior to switching over to sending 4 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 5 were going out but nobody was getting terminated, 6 right? 7 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. 8 A. Repeat that question for me one more time. 9 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 10 Q. Sure. Prior to switching over to sending	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness 8 not to answer that question on the grounds of 9 attorney-client privilege. 10 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:
1 A. We switched over to sending e-mails 2 mid-2017. 3 Q. Prior to switching over to sending 4 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 5 were going out but nobody was getting terminated, 6 right? 7 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. 8 A. Repeat that question for me one more time. 9 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 10 Q. Sure. Prior to switching over to sending 11 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness 8 not to answer that question on the grounds of 9 attorney-client privilege. 10 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 11 Q. Ma'am, Grande became aware in
1 A. We switched over to sending e-mails 2 mid-2017. 3 Q. Prior to switching over to sending 4 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 5 were going out but nobody was getting terminated, 6 right? 7 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. 8 A. Repeat that question for me one more time. 9 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 10 Q. Sure. Prior to switching over to sending 11 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 12 regarding copyright infringement were going out	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness 8 not to answer that question on the grounds of 9 attorney-client privilege. 10 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 11 Q. Ma'am, Grande became aware in 12 December 2015, that Cox Cable Company was denied
1 A. We switched over to sending e-mails 2 mid-2017. 3 Q. Prior to switching over to sending 4 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 5 were going out but nobody was getting terminated, 6 right? 7 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. 8 A. Repeat that question for me one more time. 9 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 10 Q. Sure. Prior to switching over to sending 11 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 12 regarding copyright infringement were going out 13 but nobody was getting terminated for copyright	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness 8 not to answer that question on the grounds of 9 attorney-client privilege. 10 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 11 Q. Ma'am, Grande became aware in 12 December 2015, that Cox Cable Company was denied 13 protection under the DMCA safe harbor because they
1 A. We switched over to sending e-mails 2 mid-2017. 3 Q. Prior to switching over to sending 4 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 5 were going out but nobody was getting terminated, 6 right? 7 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. 8 A. Repeat that question for me one more time. 9 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 10 Q. Sure. Prior to switching over to sending 11 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 12 regarding copyright infringement were going out 13 but nobody was getting terminated for copyright 14 infringement or alleged copyright infringement,	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness 8 not to answer that question on the grounds of 9 attorney-client privilege. 10 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 11 Q. Ma'am, Grande became aware in 12 December 2015, that Cox Cable Company was denied 13 protection under the DMCA safe harbor because they 14 did not have a sufficient policy, right?
1 A. We switched over to sending e-mails 2 mid-2017. 3 Q. Prior to switching over to sending 4 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 5 were going out but nobody was getting terminated, 6 right? 7 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. 8 A. Repeat that question for me one more time. 9 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 10 Q. Sure. Prior to switching over to sending 11 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 12 regarding copyright infringement were going out 13 but nobody was getting terminated for copyright 14 infringement or alleged copyright infringement, 15 right?	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness 8 not to answer that question on the grounds of 9 attorney-client privilege. 10 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 11 Q. Ma'am, Grande became aware in 12 December 2015, that Cox Cable Company was denied 13 protection under the DMCA safe harbor because they 14 did not have a sufficient policy, right? 15 MR. BROPHY: Counsel, which topic does
1 A. We switched over to sending e-mails 2 mid-2017. 3 Q. Prior to switching over to sending 4 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 5 were going out but nobody was getting terminated, 6 right? 7 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. 8 A. Repeat that question for me one more time. 9 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 10 Q. Sure. Prior to switching over to sending 11 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 12 regarding copyright infringement were going out 13 but nobody was getting terminated for copyright 14 infringement or alleged copyright infringement, 15 right? 16 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague.	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness 8 not to answer that question on the grounds of 9 attorney-client privilege. 10 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 11 Q. Ma'am, Grande became aware in 12 December 2015, that Cox Cable Company was denied 13 protection under the DMCA safe harbor because they 14 did not have a sufficient policy, right? 15 MR. BROPHY: Counsel, which topic does 16 this fall under, specifically knowledge of the Cox
1 A. We switched over to sending e-mails 2 mid-2017. 3 Q. Prior to switching over to sending 4 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 5 were going out but nobody was getting terminated, 6 right? 7 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. 8 A. Repeat that question for me one more time. 9 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 10 Q. Sure. Prior to switching over to sending 11 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 12 regarding copyright infringement were going out 13 but nobody was getting terminated for copyright 14 infringement or alleged copyright infringement, 15 right? 16 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. 17 A. The final warning notifications started	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness 8 not to answer that question on the grounds of 9 attorney-client privilege. 10 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 11 Q. Ma'am, Grande became aware in 12 December 2015, that Cox Cable Company was denied 13 protection under the DMCA safe harbor because they 14 did not have a sufficient policy, right? 15 MR. BROPHY: Counsel, which topic does 16 this fall under, specifically knowledge of the Cox 17 litigation?
A. We switched over to sending e-mails mid-2017.  Q. Prior to switching over to sending e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters were going out but nobody was getting terminated, right? MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. A. Repeat that question for me one more time. BY MR. O'BEIRNE: Q. Sure. Prior to switching over to sending 11 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 12 regarding copyright infringement were going out 13 but nobody was getting terminated for copyright 14 infringement or alleged copyright infringement, 15 right?  MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. The final warning notifications started Regoing out in June of 2017. That is when we started the view or, you know, assessment of	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness 8 not to answer that question on the grounds of 9 attorney-client privilege. 10 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 11 Q. Ma'am, Grande became aware in 12 December 2015, that Cox Cable Company was denied 13 protection under the DMCA safe harbor because they 14 did not have a sufficient policy, right? 15 MR. BROPHY: Counsel, which topic does 16 this fall under, specifically knowledge of the Cox 17 litigation? 18 MR. O'BEIRNE: No. Considerations for any 19 DMCA policy.
A. We switched over to sending e-mails mid-2017.  Q. Prior to switching over to sending e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters were going out but nobody was getting terminated, right? MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. A. Repeat that question for me one more time. BY MR. O'BEIRNE: Q. Sure. Prior to switching over to sending 11 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 12 regarding copyright infringement were going out 13 but nobody was getting terminated for copyright 14 infringement or alleged copyright infringement, 15 right? 16 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. 17 A. The final warning notifications started 18 going out in June of 2017. That is when we	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness 8 not to answer that question on the grounds of 9 attorney-client privilege. 10 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 11 Q. Ma'am, Grande became aware in 12 December 2015, that Cox Cable Company was denied 13 protection under the DMCA safe harbor because they 14 did not have a sufficient policy, right? 15 MR. BROPHY: Counsel, which topic does 16 this fall under, specifically knowledge of the Cox 17 litigation? 18 MR. O'BEIRNE: No. Considerations for any 19 DMCA policy. 20 MR. BROPHY: I'll object as outside the
A. We switched over to sending e-mails mid-2017.  Q. Prior to switching over to sending e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters were going out but nobody was getting terminated, right? MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. A. Repeat that question for me one more time. BY MR. O'BEIRNE: Q. Sure. Prior to switching over to sending 11 e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters 12 regarding copyright infringement were going out 13 but nobody was getting terminated for copyright 14 infringement or alleged copyright infringement, 15 right? 16 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. 17 A. The final warning notifications started 18 going out in June of 2017. That is when we 19 started the view or, you know, assessment of 20 whether we were going to terminate the customers. 21 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness 8 not to answer that question on the grounds of 9 attorney-client privilege. 10 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 11 Q. Ma'am, Grande became aware in 12 December 2015, that Cox Cable Company was denied 13 protection under the DMCA safe harbor because they 14 did not have a sufficient policy, right? 15 MR. BROPHY: Counsel, which topic does 16 this fall under, specifically knowledge of the Cox 17 litigation? 18 MR. O'BEIRNE: No. Considerations for any 19 DMCA policy. 20 MR. BROPHY: I'll object as outside the 21 scope of the topics.
A. We switched over to sending e-mails mid-2017.  Q. Prior to switching over to sending e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters were going out but nobody was getting terminated, right? MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. A. Repeat that question for me one more time. BY MR. O'BEIRNE: Q. Sure. Prior to switching over to sending e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters regarding copyright infringement were going out but nobody was getting terminated for copyright infringement or alleged copyright infringement, fright? MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. The final warning notifications started going out in June of 2017. That is when we started the view or, you know, assessment of whether we were going to terminate the customers. BY MR. O'BEIRNE:  Q. That was when the possibility that Grande	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness 8 not to answer that question on the grounds of 9 attorney-client privilege. 10 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 11 Q. Ma'am, Grande became aware in 12 December 2015, that Cox Cable Company was denied 13 protection under the DMCA safe harbor because they 14 did not have a sufficient policy, right? 15 MR. BROPHY: Counsel, which topic does 16 this fall under, specifically knowledge of the Cox 17 litigation? 18 MR. O'BEIRNE: No. Considerations for any 19 DMCA policy. 20 MR. BROPHY: I'll object as outside the 21 scope of the topics. 22 MR. O'BEIRNE: Hold on. I'll get you a
A. We switched over to sending e-mails mid-2017.  Q. Prior to switching over to sending e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters were going out but nobody was getting terminated, right? MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. A. Repeat that question for me one more time. BY MR. O'BEIRNE: Q. Sure. Prior to switching over to sending e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters regarding copyright infringement were going out but nobody was getting terminated for copyright infringement or alleged copyright infringement, right? MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. The final warning notifications started going out in June of 2017. That is when we started the view or, you know, assessment of whether we were going to terminate the customers. BY MR. O'BEIRNE: Q. That was when the possibility that Grande	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness 8 not to answer that question on the grounds of 9 attorney-client privilege. 10 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 11 Q. Ma'am, Grande became aware in 12 December 2015, that Cox Cable Company was denied 13 protection under the DMCA safe harbor because they 14 did not have a sufficient policy, right? 15 MR. BROPHY: Counsel, which topic does 16 this fall under, specifically knowledge of the Cox 17 litigation? 18 MR. O'BEIRNE: No. Considerations for any 19 DMCA policy. 20 MR. BROPHY: I'll object as outside the 21 scope of the topics. 22 MR. O'BEIRNE: Hold on. I'll get you a 23 more specific one. "Any policy, practice or
A. We switched over to sending e-mails mid-2017.  Q. Prior to switching over to sending e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters were going out but nobody was getting terminated, right? MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. A. Repeat that question for me one more time. BY MR. O'BEIRNE: Q. Sure. Prior to switching over to sending e-mails, the process was that hard copy letters regarding copyright infringement were going out but nobody was getting terminated for copyright infringement or alleged copyright infringement, fright? MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. The final warning notifications started going out in June of 2017. That is when we started the view or, you know, assessment of whether we were going to terminate the customers. BY MR. O'BEIRNE:  Q. That was when the possibility that Grande	1 A. No, I can't. 2 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 3 Q. Ma'am, the reason Grande decided to update 4 its policies in 2016 was that it became aware that 5 Cox lost safe harbor protection for its conduct in 6 December 2015, right? 7 MR. BROPHY: I will instruct the witness 8 not to answer that question on the grounds of 9 attorney-client privilege. 10 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 11 Q. Ma'am, Grande became aware in 12 December 2015, that Cox Cable Company was denied 13 protection under the DMCA safe harbor because they 14 did not have a sufficient policy, right? 15 MR. BROPHY: Counsel, which topic does 16 this fall under, specifically knowledge of the Cox 17 litigation? 18 MR. O'BEIRNE: No. Considerations for any 19 DMCA policy. 20 MR. BROPHY: I'll object as outside the 21 scope of the topics. 22 MR. O'BEIRNE: Hold on. I'll get you a

# Transcript of Stephanie Christianson, Designated Representative, Volume 2 <sup>13</sup><sub>07t</sub> (t44)<sup>2</sup> Conducted on June 28, 2018

1 as part of determining the basis for your 30(b)(6) 2 testimony today? 3 MR. BROPHY: I'll just caution you not to 4 disclose attorney-client privileged 5 communications. Other than that, you may answer. 6 A. So my testimony has been on the knowledge 7 I have in my daily job and I deal with lots of 8 people on a daily basis regarding DMCA. There's 9 lots of interactions had to get answers that helps 10 provide this testimony. 11 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 12 Q. Sure. And I don't mean to be confusing. 13 I don't mean I mean before you knew you were 14 ever going to be a 30(b)(6) witness, you were just 15 interacting with people doing your job, right? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you 18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  2 the level so a specifically caused by the solution of the same than the such as the same than the such as the such
2 testimony today? 3 MR. BROPHY: I'll just caution you not to 4 disclose attorney-client privileged 5 communications. Other than that, you may answer. 6 A. So my testimony has been on the knowledge 7 I have in my daily job and I deal with lots of 8 people on a daily basis regarding DMCA. There's 9 lots of interactions had to get answers that helps 10 provide this testimony. 11 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 12 Q. Sure. And I don't mean to be confusing. 13 I don't mean I mean before you knew you were 14 ever going to be a 30(b)(6) witness, you were just 15 interacting with people doing your job, right? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you 18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  300  1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me, no, there is no 4 such barrier? 3 Q. You would agree with me, no, there is no 4 such barrier? 4 such barrier? 5 A. Yes, I would agree with you. 6 Q. How does Ruth Ann Walsh strike that. 1 Is it Walsh or Welsh? 8 A. Welsh. 9 Q. Sorry. How does Ruth Ann Welsh at RCN 10 determine who should receive a final warning 11 certified letter? 12 A. She reviews the output of our accounts 13 that receive alleged infringement notices and the 14 accounts of those notices over a period of time. 15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usu
3 MR. BROPHY: I'll just caution you not to 4 disclose attorney-client privileged 5 communications. Other than that, you may answer. 6 A. So my testimony has been on the knowledge 7 I have in my daily job and I deal with lots of 8 people on a daily basis regarding DMCA. There's 9 lots of interactions had to get answers that helps 10 provide this testimony. 11 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 12 Q. Sure. And I don't mean to be confusing. 13 I don't mean I mean before you knew you were 14 ever going to be a 30(b)(6) witness, you were just 15 interacting with people doing your job, right? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you 18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  300  1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  3 Q. You would agree with me, no, there is no 4 such barrier?  5 A. Yes, I would agree with me, no, there is no 4 such barrier?  5 A. Yes, I would agree with me, no, there is no 4 such barrier?  5 A. Yes, I would agree with me, no, there is no 4 such barrier?  5 A. Yes, I would agree with me, no, there is no 4 such barrier?  5 A. Yes, I would agree with me, no, there is no 6 Q. How does Ruth Ann Walsh strike that. 1s it Walsh or Welsh?  8 A. Welsh. 9 Q. Sorry. How does Ruth Ann Welsh at RCN 10 determine who should receive a final warning 11 certified letter? 12 A. She reviews the output of our accounts 13 that receive alleged infringement notices and the 14 accounts of those notices over a period of time. 15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warni
4 such barrier? 5 communications. Other than that, you may answer. 6 A. So my testimony has been on the knowledge 7 I have in my daily job and I deal with lots of 8 people on a daily basis regarding DMCA. There's 9 lots of interactions had to get answers that helps 10 provide this testimony. 11 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 12 Q. Sure. And I don't mean to be confusing. 13 I don't mean I mean before you knew you were 14 ever going to be a 30(b)(6) witness, you were just 15 interacting with people doing your job, right? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you 18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  4 such barrier? 5 A. Yes, I would agree with you. 6 Q. How does Ruth Ann Walsh strike that. 7 Is it Walsh or Welsh? 8 A. Welsh. 9 Q. Sorry. How does Ruth Ann Welsh at RCN 10 determine who should receive a final warning 11 certified letter? 12 A. She reviews the output of our accounts 13 that receive alleged infringement notices and the 14 accounts of those notices over a period of time. 15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right  1 now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 2 15 range.
5 communications. Other than that, you may answer. 6 A. So my testimony has been on the knowledge 7 I have in my daily job and I deal with lots of 8 people on a daily basis regarding DMCA. There's 9 lots of interactions had to get answers that helps 10 provide this testimony. 11 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 12 Q. Sure. And I don't mean to be confusing. 13 I don't mean I mean before you knew you were 14 ever going to be a 30(b)(6) witness, you were just 15 interacting with people doing your job, right? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you 18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  300  1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  4 Yes, I would agree with you. 6 Q. How does Ruth Ann Walsh strike that. 7 Is it Walsh or Welsh? 8 A. Welsh. 9 Q. Sorry. How does Ruth Ann Welsh at RCN 10 determine who should receive a final warning 11 certified letter? 12 A. She reviews the output of our accounts 13 that receive alleged infringement notices and the 14 accounts of those notices over a period of time. 15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right 26 A. We usually there is no set number right 27 now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to
6 A. So my testimony has been on the knowledge 7 I have in my daily job and I deal with lots of 8 people on a daily basis regarding DMCA. There's 9 lots of interactions had to get answers that helps 10 provide this testimony. 11 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 12 Q. Sure. And I don't mean to be confusing. 13 I don't mean I mean before you knew you were 14 ever going to be a 30(b)(6) witness, you were just 15 interacting with people doing your job, right? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you 18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  16 Q. How does Ruth Ann Walsh strike that. 17 Is it Walsh or Welsh?  8 A. Welsh. 9 Q. Sorry. How does Ruth Ann Welsh at RCN 10 determine who should receive a final warning 11 certified letter? 12 A. She reviews the output of our accounts 13 that receive alleged infringement notices and the 14 accounts of those notices over a period of time. 15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right  18 int Walsh or Welsh?  8 A. Welsh. 9 Q. Sorry. How does Ruth Ann Welsh at RCN 10 determine who should receive a final warning 11 certified letter? 12 A. She reviews the output of our accounts 13 that receive alleged infringers 14 ecounts of those notices over a period of time. 15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15
7 Is it Walsh or Welsh? 8 people on a daily basis regarding DMCA. There's 9 lots of interactions had to get answers that helps 10 provide this testimony. 11 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 12 Q. Sure. And I don't mean to be confusing. 13 I don't mean I mean before you knew you were 14 ever going to be a 30(b)(6) witness, you were just 15 interacting with people doing your job, right? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you 18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  7 Is it Walsh or Welsh?  8 A. Welsh. 9 Q. Sorry. How does Ruth Ann Welsh at RCN 10 determine who should receive a final warning 11 certified letter? 12 A. She reviews the output of our accounts 13 that receive alleged infringement notices and the 14 accounts of those notices over a period of time. 15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right  1 now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 2 15 range.
8 people on a daily basis regarding DMCA. There's 9 lots of interactions had to get answers that helps 10 provide this testimony. 11 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 12 Q. Sure. And I don't mean to be confusing. 13 I don't mean I mean before you knew you were 14 ever going to be a 30(b)(6) witness, you were just 15 interacting with people doing your job, right? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you 18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  1 I my Warning to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  1 I my Warning to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  1 I my Warning to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  1 I my Warning to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  1 I my Warning to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  1 A. Welsh.  9 Q. Sorry. How does Ruth Ann Welsh at RCN 10 determine who should receive a final warning 11 certified letter?  12 A. She reviews the output of our accounts 13 that receives alleged infringement notices and the 14 accounts of those notices over a period of time. 15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there as en number, 15, or is
9 Oct of interactions had to get answers that helps 10 provide this testimony. 11 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 12 Q. Sure. And I don't mean to be confusing. 13 I don't mean I mean before you knew you were 14 ever going to be a 30(b)(6) witness, you were just 15 interacting with people doing your job, right? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you 18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  300  1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  9 Q. Sorry. How does Ruth Ann Welsh at RCN 10 determine who should receive a final warning 11 certified letter?  12 A. She reviews the output of our accounts 13 that receive alleged infringement notices and the 14 accounts of those notices over a period of time. 15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right  10 now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 21 to range.
10 provide this testimony.  11 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:  12 Q. Sure. And I don't mean to be confusing.  13 I don't mean I mean before you knew you were  14 ever going to be a 30(b)(6) witness, you were just  15 interacting with people doing your job, right?  16 A. Correct.  17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you  18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked  19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann  20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are  21 the only two conversations you had that were  22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go  23 get facts to support your testimony?  24 A. Yes.  25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  10 determine who should receive a final warning  11 certified letter?  12 A. She reviews the output of our accounts  13 that receive alleged infringement notices and the  14 accounts of those notices over a period of time.  15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in  16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are  17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who  18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final  19 warning notice.  20 Q. And you know that from discussions with  21 Ruth Ann?  22 A. We have worked together on it.  23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up  24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review?  25 A. We usually there is no set number right  1 now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to  2 15 range.
11 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 12 Q. Sure. And I don't mean to be confusing. 13 I don't mean I mean before you knew you were 14 ever going to be a 30(b)(6) witness, you were just 15 interacting with people doing your job, right? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you 18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  11 certified letter? 12 A. She reviews the output of our accounts 13 that receive alleged infringement notices and the 14 accounts of those notices over a period of time. 15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right  10 now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 21 range.
12 Q. Sure. And I don't mean to be confusing. 13 I don't mean I mean before you knew you were 14 ever going to be a 30(b)(6) witness, you were just 15 interacting with people doing your job, right? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you 18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  12 A. She reviews the output of our accounts 13 that receive alleged infringement notices and the 14 accounts of those notices over a period of time. 15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right  10 now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 21 range.
13 I don't mean I mean before you knew you were 14 ever going to be a 30(b)(6) witness, you were just 15 interacting with people doing your job, right?  16 A. Correct.  17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you 18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony?  24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  13 that receive alleged infringement notices and the 14 accounts of those notices over a period of time. 15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right  300  1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  13 that receive alleged infringement notices and the 14 accounts of those notices over a period of time. 15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice.  20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Amn?  22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right 20 A. The provided in the count and then usually in the 12 to a transfer definition in the provided in the count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher. 17 our higher
14 ever going to be a 30(b)(6) witness, you were just 15 interacting with people doing your job, right?  16 A. Correct. 17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you 18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  14 accounts of those notices over a period of time. 15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right  1 now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 2 15 range.
15 interacting with people doing your job, right?  16 A. Correct.  17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you 18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony?  24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  300  1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right  300  1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  15 We sort by total count and then usually it's in 16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right 21 now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 21 5 range.
16 A. Correct.  17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you 18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony?  24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right  300 1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  16 the double digits, 15 or so and higher, those are 17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right  10 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 11 particle. 21 now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 21 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right
17 Q. What I'm saying is, specifically, once you 18 got these topics, my understanding is you talked 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  17 our higher count alleged infringers, that is who 18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right  1 now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 2 15 range.
18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  18 gets targeted for the warning notice or the final 19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right  1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know
19 to Mr. Horton briefly and then you called Ruth Ann 20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  19 warning notice. 20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right  1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right  20 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann? 22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right 21 now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 22 D. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right
20 at a break yesterday. Is it fair to say those are 21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony?  24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  28 Yes. 29 Q. And you know that from discussions with 21 Ruth Ann?  22 A. We have worked together on it. 23 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 24 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 25 A. We usually there is no set number right  26 Now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 27 Down. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 28 Down. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 29 Down. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 29 Down. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 20 Down. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 21 Ruth Ann? 22 Down. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 29 Down. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 20 Down. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 20 Down. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 21 Ruth Ann? 22 Down. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 23 Down. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 29 Down. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 20 Down. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to
21 the only two conversations you had that were 22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am, 26 A. We usually there is no set number right 27 a. We usually there is no set number right 28 a. We usually there is no set number right 300 a. We usually there is no set number right 300 a. I now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 26 a. We usually there is no set number right 300 a. I now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 27 b. There is no set number right 300 a. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 28 b. We usually there is no set number right 300 a. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 29 b. We usually there is no set number right 300 a. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 20 b. There is no set number right 300 a. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 29 b. There is no set number right 300 a. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 20 b. There is no set number right 300 a. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 21 b. There is no set number right 300 a. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 21 b. There is no set number right
22 specifically caused by this 30(b)(6) notice to go 23 get facts to support your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  28 A. We have worked together on it. 29 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 29 4 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 20 A. We usually there is no set number right  300 1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know
23 get facts to support your testimony?  24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  28 Yes. 29 Q. So is there a set number, 15, or is it up 29 to her discretion as she does this ad hoc review? 20 A. We usually there is no set number right  300  1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  20 I now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 2 15 range.
24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am, 26 A. We usually there is no set number right 27 a. We usually there is no set number right 28 a. We usually there is no set number right 300 1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know 300 2 15 range.
25 Q. Okay. I don't mean to jump around, ma'am,  1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  25 A. We usually there is no set number right  1 now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 2 15 range.
1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  300  1 now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 2 15 range.
1 I'm trying to work through the remaining things I 2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know  1 now. It is double digits and usually in the 12 to 2 15 range.
2 have on my list, so please bear with me. I know 2 15 range.
2. 4h-m-la
3 there's some things that we've talked about, but I 3 Q. Do you discuss each one with her?
4 just want to make sure your testimony's clear. 4 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague. When you
5 Grande could have implemented the e-mails 5 say "each one," you mean each termination,
6 and final termination letter process that it 6 Counsel?
7 implemented sometime in 2017 in previous years, 7 MR. O'BEIRNE: Sorry. I'll rephrase.
8 right? 8 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:
9 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague and outside 9 Q. Do you discuss each instance in which
10 the scope of the topics. 10 someone has received double digits sufficient to
You can answer. 11 warrant a final termination warning?
12 A. Hypothetically, yes. 12 A. We don't discuss them. At that point we
13 BY MR. O'BEIRNE: 13 are looking at counts.
14 Q. Well, not just hypothetically. The 14 Q. Is it your testimony that only 12 Grande
15 information that Grande has in its possession now 15 customers have received double digit DMCA notices
16 that it uses to generate these e-mails and 16 since November of 2016?
17 termination letters, it had that same kind of 17 A. No, that's not the case.
18 information about notices DMCA notices that it
19 received in previous years, right? 19 received double digit DMCA notices since
20 A. The information is the same. 20 November 2016, right, ma'am?
21 Q. So there was no technical barrier that 21 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague.
22 you're aware of, sitting here, that would've  22 A. I don't have a count.
23 prevented Grande from implementing this process 23 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:
24 sooner, is there?  24 Q. Let's look at Topic 47 in Exhibit 188.
25 MR. BROPHY: Object as vague. 25 "The number of warnings you sent to customers or

## Transcript of Stephanie Christianson, Designated Representative, Volume 2 <sup>17</sup><sub>073</sub> (070)<sup>2</sup> Conducted on June 28, 2018

Conduct	ted on June 28, 2018
	305
1 users for violation of any provision of any DMCA	1 Q. And up through 2016, how many subscribers
2 Policy, Acceptable Use Policy, Repeat Infringer	2 of Grande were terminated based on any one of
3 Policy and/or for allegations of copyright	3 these letters?
4 infringement, for the years 2012, 2013, 2014,	4 A. Zero.
5 2015, 2016, and 2017."	5 Q. How many notices did Grande receive of
6 Do you see that, ma'am?	6 alleged copyright infringement from the years 2012
7 A. I do.	7 to 2017?
8 Q. And you understand you've been offered as	8 A. 1.2 million.
9 Grande's corporate representative on this topic,	9 Q. Looking at Topic 46, 'The number of
10 right?	10 customers or cruisers whose accounts you suspended
11 A. I do.	11 or terminated for violation of any provision of
12 Q. How many warnings did Grande send to	12 any DMCA Policy" et cetera "and/or for
13 customers regarding allegations of copyright	13 allegations of copyright infringement."
14 infringement in the year 2012?	14 You see it's the same years, right, ma'am?
15 A. I don't have the number off the top of my	15 A. Yes.
16 head per year.	16 Q. You would agree the number of customers
17 Q. Setting aside having it off the top of	17 suspended for copyright infringement or alleged
18 your head, did you bring with you the report from	18 infringement in 2012 was zero?
19 Grande's system sufficient to provide that	19 A. Yes.
20 information?	20 Q. 2013 it was zero?
21 A. No.	21 A. Yes.
Q. You could have, right, ma'am? Grande	22 Q. 2014 it was zero?
23 could run a report to answer the question, "How	23 A. Yes.
24 many warnings were sent to customers based on	24 Q. 2015 it was zero?
25 allegations of copyright infringement for the year	25 A. Yes.
	306
1 2012"? Grande has that information, right?	1 Q. 2016 it was zero?
2 A. We do.	2 A. Yes.
3 Q. How many warnings did Grande send to	3 Q. 2017 it was 12?
4 customers for allegations of copyright	4 A. 2017 it was 11.
5 infringement for the year 2013?	5 Q. It was 11. 2018 so far, one?
6 A. Same answer set applies.	6 A. Yes.
7 Q. Okay. So you would agree you can't tell	7 Q. 2011 it was zero, right?
8 me, sitting here today, how many were sent in	8 A. Yes.
9 2013, right?	9 Q. 2010?
10 A. What I have is the total number of notices	10 A. To my knowledge, 2010 is zero.
11 that were sent over this timespan. I don't have	11 Q. You would agree with me that Grande only
12 the breakdown of how many per year.	12 sends hard copy strike that.
13 Q. And you could get it?	Prior to the current process that involved
14 A. Yes.	14 e-mail, Grande was sending hard copy letters,
15 Q. How many were sent for this time frame?	15 right?
16 A. Over 200,000.	16 A. Correct.
17 Q. 200,000 letters were sent to customers?	17 Q. From CSG as a result of information
18 A. Yes.	18 flowing through Grande's abuse system?
19 Q. Hard copy letters?	19 A. Correct.
20 A. Some of the 2017 ones could be e-mails.	20 Q. And Grande would only send a letter to a
Q. Okay. So up till 2016, it was hard copy	21 customer through this process if the original
22 letters?	22 notice came from a known entity?
23 A. Yes.	23 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague.
24 Q. With stamps and envelopes and everything?	24 A. Can you clarify that?
25 A. Yes.	25

### Case 1:17-cv-00365-DAE Document 128-3 Filed 08/08/18 Page 8 of 10

# Transcript of Stephanie Christianson, Designated Representative, Volume 2 t1 (o14 )2 Conducted on June 28, 2018

Conducted	on June 28, 2018
319	321
1 record at 12:11.	1 copyright infringement in the DMCA and the DMCA
2 (Recess taken from 12:11 p.m. to	2 policy, peer-to-peer file sharing, et cetera,
3 12:20 p.m.)	3 you're not able to tell me whether Patriot and
4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins the media	4 Grande engaged in any communications whatsoever in
5 No. 6 in the videotaped deposition of Stephanie	5 2013 on any of the topics listed in Topic 7?
6 Christianson. We are going back on the record at	6 MR. BROPHY: I'll caution you not to
7 12:20.	7 disclose attorney-client privileged
8 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	8 communications.
9 Q. Ma'am, when we went off the record, we	9 Just to be clear sorry, Counsel, but I
10 were discussing Grande's policy of not terminating	10 want to make sure we're clear on this. You're
11 infringers from 2010 to 2016. Do you recall that?	11 allowed to say yes or no as to whether a
12 A. Yes.	12 communication took place between attorneys, but
13 Q. I'm just trying to understand who was in	13 simply not disclose the subject matter of that
14 charge of that policy for that period, from 2010	14 communication. Does that make sense?
15 to 2013 when ABB was still helping manage Grande.	15 A. THE WITNESS: Yes.
16 ABB was responsible for that policy; is that	16 MR. BROPHY: So its existence is okay to
17 accurate?	17 talk about, the subject matter of it is not.
18 MR. BROPHY: I'll just caution you not to	18 A. THE WITNESS: Okay.
19 disclose attorney-client privileged	19 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:
20 communications. Otherwise you can answer. Also	20 Q. Did Grande and Patriot have communications
21 object as outside the scope of the topics.	21 about the termination of subscribers for copyright
22 A. I'm not sure who was in charge.	22 infringement in 2013?
23 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	23 A. I can't I don't know.
24 Q. Either at Grande or at ABB?	24 Q. Is it fair to say you also don't know
25 A. I'm just not sure who drove it.	25 whether Grande and Patriot had any other
320	322
1 Q. And under the topic of communications	1 communications had any strike that.
2 between Patriot and Grande regarding DMCA	2 Is it also fair to say you don't know,
3 policies, copyright infringement, when Patriot	3 sitting here as Grande's representative on Topic
4 took over management responsibilities for Grande	4 No. 7, whether Grande and Patriot had
5 in 2013, what discussions did Patriot have with	5 communications concerning any of the other topics
6 Grande about its current practice of not	6 listed in Topic 7 in 2013?
7 terminating any subscribers for copyright	7 MR. BROPHY: Same cautions.
8 infringement or alleged copyright infringement?	8 A. Yes. I don't know of any discussions that
9 MR. BROPHY: I'll instruct the witness not	9 occurred in 2013.
10 to answer those questions on the basis of	10 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:
11 attorney-client privilege.	11 Q. But as Grande's 30(b)(6) witness, you are
12 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	12 confident that Grande's policy of not terminating
13 Q. Setting aside what was contained in any	13 subscribers for copyright infringement or alleged
14 such communication, did Grande and Patriot have	14 copyright infringement regardless of notices
15 communications, privileged or nonprivileged, about	15 received continued after Patriot took over
16 the termination of subscribers for copyright	16 management responsibilities in 2013?
17 infringement in 2013?	
	17 A. Yes, our policy, our process did not 18 change until later on.
19 yes/no capacity.  20 A. I don't know.	
20 A. I don't know. 21 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	
	21 Q. I think we've covered this clearly, but
	22 just to make sure we have a clean record. Voy
22 Q. Sitting as Grande's corporate	22 just to make sure we have a clean record. You
23 representative for Topic No. 7, communications	23 would agree with me that Grande did not have a

### Case 1:17-cv-00365-DAE Document 128-3 Filed 08/08/18 Page 9 of 10

### Transcript of Stephanie Christianson, Designated Representative, Volume 2 tt (oto )2 Conducted on June 28, 2018

323	325
1 copyright infringers in 2010, right?	1 A. Yes.
2 A. To my knowledge, yes.	2 Q. That come one of the addresses they
Q. Same answer for 2011?	3 come to is abuse@mygrande.com?
4 A. Yes.	4 A. That's the older address, yes.
5 Q. 2012?	5 Q. And that routes to dmca@mygrande.com?
6 A. Yes.	6 A. Yes.
7 Q. 2013?	7 Q. Other violations than just DMCA violations
8 A. Yes.	8 are also sent to abuse@mygrande.com, correct?
9 Q. 2014?	9 A. That is correct.
10 A. Yes.	10 Q. So Grande's abuse database, aside from
11 Q. 2015?	11 processing DMCA notices, also processes other
12 A. Yes.	12 kinds of communications, right?
13 Q. And 2016?	13 A. There is an abuse front end that handles a
14 A. Yes.	14 variety of other things as well.
15 Q. Ruth Ann Welsh is not an attorney,	15 Q. The abuse front end is like a funnel,
16 correct, ma'am?	16 right? That's fair?
MR. BROPHY: Objection, calls for	17 A. Yes.
18 speculation; also outside the scope of the topics.	18 Q. It intakes both DMCA-related notices and
19 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	19 notices that don't relate to the DMCA, right?
20 Q. Do you have any reason to believe Ruth Ann	20 A. Correct.
21 is a lawyer?	21 Q. And then what happens to a particular
MR. BROPHY: Same objections.	22 subject matter notice depends on Grande's system?
23 A. No.	23 A. Correct.
24 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	24 Q. Were all DMCA notices sent to
Q. She's a project manager, right?	25 abuse@mygrande and dmca@mygrande from 2010 to 2017
324	
1 MR. BROPHY: Same objections.	1 loaded into this abuse database?
2 A. I'm not sure what her title is.	2 A. Yes.
3 BYMR. O'BEIRNE:	Q. Were reports from the abuse database
4 Q. I thought I recall you saying earlier in	4 generated in the normal course of Grande's
5 your testimony that you and she have similar	5 business?
6 roles. Her role at RCN is similar to your role at	6 MR. BROPHY: I'll object as outside the
7 Grande. Is that fair?	7 scope of the topics and calling for a legal
8 A. It's similar, but she has a different	8 conclusion and calling for speculation.
9 title.	9 You can answer in your personal capacity
10 Q. Sitting here today, you're not sure what	10 if you're able to.
11 that title is?	11 A. I'm not sure which reports were pulled out
12 A. Correct.	12 of there.
13 Q. Okay. Ma'am, I have like I said some	13 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:
14 miscellaneous questions just to get through here	14 Q. Sitting here today, can you explain any
15 before we finish, so please bear with me.	15 kind of routine generation of reports Grande
16 Is Grande's abuse database used	16 engaged in of information in the abuse database
17 exclusively to track DMCA violations?	17 from 2010 to 2016?
18 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague.	18 A. I'm not aware of any routine reports.
19 A. To my knowledge, the database housing the	19 Q. Please describe what, if any, routine
20 counts of alleged infringement notices we have is	20 monitoring or tracking of the information in the
21 separate.	21 abuse database Grande was engaged in from 2010
22 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	22 through 2016.
23 Q. Okay. I appreciate that. I'm asking you	23 MR. BROPHY: Object as outside the scope
24 something slightly differently, though. There is	24 of the topics and vague.
25 an abuse system that intakes DMCA notices, right?	25 A. Can you be more specific, please.

Transcript of Stephanie Christianson, Designated Representative, Volume 2 o1 (o64 )2 Conducted on June 28, 2018

	359	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION		
3 whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby		
4 certify that the foregoing transcript is a true		
5 and correct record of the testimony given; that		
6 said testimony was taken by me stenographically		
7 and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my		
8 direction; that reading and signing was requested;		
9 and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor		
10 employed by any of the parties to this case and		
11 have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its		
12 outcome.		
13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my		
14 hand this 4th day of July, 2018.		
15		
16 Wind & gan		
- /		
18 MICHEAL A. JOHNSON, RDR, CRR		
19 NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR		
20 THE STATE OF TEXAS		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
1		